



Docket No.: 5000-0188PUS1

(PATENT)

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Jordi TORMO I BLASCO et al.

Application No.: 10/589,662

Confirmation No.: 9965

Filed: August 16, 2006

Art Unit: N/A

For: FUNGICIDAL MIXTURES

**Examiner: Sharon Brooks** 

#### **LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Subsequent to the filing of the above-identified application on August 16, 2006, attached hereto is an English Translation of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority. Please make this document of record for the above-identified application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

By

Dated: November 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055346	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2005/001430	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 February 2005 (12.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 February 2004 (16.02.2004)
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant information in Form I	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. I(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference				
	to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
ļ	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				

Date of issuance of this report 04 October 2006 (04.10.2006)

Ellen Moyse

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the			RANG
To:	ITY		PCT PCT
			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	· 	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION
0000055346			See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/EP2005/001430	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.02.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both A01N43/90  Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHA			
Box No. IV Lack of unit  Box No. V Reasoned stapplicability  Box No. VI Certain docu  Box No. VII Certain defe  Box No. VIII Certain obse  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliming a demand for international Preliminary Examining a than this one to be the IPEA and the this International Searching Authority  If this opinion is, as provided above.	shment of opinion with reg y of invention atement under Rule 43bis. citations and explanation aments cited cts in the international approximations on the internation minary examination is m Authority ("IPEA") exceptions on IPEA has notified will not be so considered.	I(a)(i) with regard to not supporting such state of supporting such state of supporting such state of supporting that this opinion will that this does not apport the International Burenopinion of the IPEA	be considered to be a written opinion of the ly where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written opinions of the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a
written reply together, where approp PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration For further options, see Form PCT/ISA	oriate, with amendments.  of 22 months from the pri	before the expiration	of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
3. For further details, see notes to Form I	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001430

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was , unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language . which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	•	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed intion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
ļ	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:
l		

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/001430

BOX	ci No. v K	casoned statement tations and explan	under Ru ations su	ale 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)		Claims	1-10	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventive ste	ep (IS)	Claims	1-10	YES
			Claims		NO
	Industrial ap	plicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
			Claims		NO
•					

#### Citations and explanations:

The present invention relates to a synergistic fungicidal mixture of a first active ingredient (triazolopyrimidine of the formula (I) and of a second active ingredient (flutolanil of the formula (II)).

D1 (WO 98/46607) describes (I) as one possibility within a Markush formula and the possible achievement of a synergistic effect with all active ingredients, including (II). Two selections were necessary in order to arrive at the present invention: one within the list of preferred compounds (I) and one in the list of active ingredients (II).

D1 does not disclose the claimed compositions. In addition, because of the fundamentally *a priori* unpredictable aspect of synergism in general, a person skilled in the art would not have expected such a synergistic effect.

The present application thus satisfies the criteria specified in PCT Article 33(2) to (4) because the subject matter of the present claims is novel (PCT Rule 64.1 to 64.3), involves an inventive step (PCT Rule 65.1 to 65.2) in relation to the prior art as defined in the Regulations, and is industrially applicable.